

In The United State Patent and Trademark Office

Applicant: Leo Baschy
Title: User Interface Driven Access Control System and Method
Appln. Number: 10/802,658
Filing Date: 2004-03-17
Confirmation No: 2674
Group Art Unit: 2174
Examiner: Linh K. Pham
Attorney Docket Number: UIDAC-U-1

To: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Statement of the Substance of Interview

In response to the Interview Summary mailed 2008-10-28, Applicant files the following statement:

The purpose of the call was an administrative clarification, regarding the period for reply.

Applicant did not come out of the call understanding what is described in the Interview Summary mailed 2008-10-28.

In hindsight, Applicant can believe that Examiner has said what is in the Interview Summary.

Applicant, however, on 2008-10-16 in good faith filed a Request for Continued Examination, in compliance with the Advisory Action as on file in the IFW on 2008-10-16, and identically still on file in the IFW on 2008-11-01, with checkbox 1(b) checked.

Applicant respectfully refers to 37 CFR §1.2 “Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.”

Respectfully submitted,

/Leo Baschy/

Leo Baschy

Applicant Pro Se

Date 2008-11-14